

Research Article

Navigating The Moral Prospect: Ethical Consideration in The Digital Age

Nelumndini Samaranayake ¹,¹ University of North Texas Health Science Center; Nelumndini.Samaranayake@unthsc.edu

Abstract: Technology is advancing rapidly with an increase in interpersonal interactions. The digital environment gives new opportunities to the learner. The COVID-19 pandemic prompted an unprecedented shift from face-to-face to online learning. Presenting both unique opportunities and challenges. Educators, in response, embraced digital engagement to cater to 21st-century learners, fostering critical thinking and innovation. However, the digital environment also brought forth ethical considerations, which have led to prominent discussions in education. This paper delves into the crucial aspect of developing a comprehensive code of ethics for online education while advocating for the establishment of clear internal procedure, policies, and guidelines to support ethical practices. The creation of such a robust code of ethics serves as a cornerstone not to push technological development, but to guide ethical integration by outlining principles that promote responsible behavior, safeguard privacy, and ensure equitable access to educational resources. Furthermore, the paper explores how educators can play a critical role in promoting ethical behavior among 21st-century learners. By incorporating, critical thinking skills, and encouraging discussions and assignments rooted in personal, professional, and life experiences, educators can introduce a sense of responsibility and ethical awareness in their students. The paper underscores the importance of initiative-taking measures, such as a well-defined code of ethics, to navigate the evolving landscape of online education responsibly. It contributes to the ongoing discourse on ethical considerations, offering insights and recommendations for fostering a digital learning environment characterized by integrity and ethical conduct.

Keywords: technology, digital, 21st century learner, COVID-19, ethics

Citation: Samaranayake, Nelumndini, 2024. Navigating The Moral Prospect: Ethical Consideration in The Digital Age. *Journal of Ethics and Emerging Technologies* 34: 1. <https://doi.org/10.55613/jeet.v34i1.144>

4

Received: 29/07/2024

Accepted: 27/11/2024

Published: 2/12/2024

Publisher's Note: IEET stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

With the COVID-19 pandemic, technological advancement has paved the way for the digitalization of interaction as life moves online, making technology more personal and portable in society. The pandemic altered culture globally, not just affecting students but lives around the world (Renu, 2021). Taufiq-Hail et al. (2021) noted that individuals faced numerous challenges from self-isolation. The rapid change caused by COVID-19 disturbed physical health and critically impacted the mental health of educators and students, prompting behavioral changes.

Vargo (2021) stated that educational opportunities expanded beyond face-to-face classrooms. Due to technology people were able to study, work, shop, and function from anywhere. With the expansion of online learning, opportunities for exploration were instigated, information was freely transferred, and instant access to information became available. The sudden shift to digital technologies solved immediate access problems to learning but posed

potential long-lasting impacts on technology and ethical practices in education. Hakimi et al. (2021) pointed out that the digital shift brings ethical concerns and challenges to educators, learners, instructional designers, and institutions.

For teaching and learning to be effective in the technological era, best practices must be reimagined to support a growth mindset for 21st-century learners (Xu, 2021). To meet learners' educational needs, integrating higher level of thinking and meaningful technology use is essential. The social power of technology and ethical issues are always vital at play. Teachers must incorporate higher thinking skills while using technology and successfully administer ethical conduct in the digital environment. Clear internal procedures, policies, and guidelines must be adopted. Transparent ethical practices, chains of responsibilities, and accountability must be integrated consistently into technological teaching and learning practices (Lendis, 2014). The rapid pace of technological advancement has challenged 21st-century society to evaluate the ethical implications of actions in the digital space as educators and institutions must guide students on using technology ethically. This paper explores the following research questions to clarify its purpose and scope

- (1) What constitutes ethical practices for learners regarding academic integrity in a digital environment?
- (2) How can educators support the ethical behavior of 21st-century learners?

The ethical practices in a digital environment include responsibility, transparency, accountability, and the integration of higher order thinking skill. Educators can support the ethical behavior of the 21st century learners by implementing clear internal procedures, guidelines and policies, along with promoting a growth mindset towards ethical technology use. By addressing these research questions and examining the hypothesis, the paper aims to provide insights into the ethical dimensions of digital education and offer practical recommendations for educators to foster ethical behavior among learners in the 21st century.

2. Theoretical perspective

Williams (2017) mentioned that "today our world is electronic" as technology drives the social lives of our students, promoting student engagement and inspiring students to become lifelong learners. For instructions to be socially engaging, relevant, and practical, teachers are responsible for providing new levels of instruction that will enhance students' use of technology tools. Students can share information through cell phones to message, chat, and text. Aligning technology to meet the social needs of the students connects to John Dewey's social learning theory that emphasizes the importance of education in promoting self-governing values and social responsibility. Students need to be aware of the obligation to safeguard their own privacy and to prevent harm to others (Allen, 2012). Social constructivism was first expressed by Lev Vygotsky, who points out that learning is not an individual one but rather a social experience with many individuals. According to Vygotsky, students learn and improve cognitive development by interacting with people mastering cultural experience, habits, forms of cultural behavior, methods, and reasoning (Kivunja, 2014). Through technological tools, students also build, accept, share, and reinforce knowledge supporting the transformation process. While collaborating in learning is

beneficial, there are ethical concerns about plagiarism and educators must provide clear guidelines on collaboration and emphasize academic honesty. Lev Vygotsky's social constructivism interacts with many rather than one and has influenced connectivism in the digital environment. George Siemens calls connectivism, the learning theory for the digital age, where learning connects information sources and people (Corbett et al., 2020). Corbett et al. (2020) explained that self-directed learners select their connections and information and are not guided by the traditional instructional process but by their own choices. With vast amounts of information available students may struggle to distinguish credible sources from unreliable ones. Educators must teach digital literacy skills to help students navigate and evaluate information effectively.

3. Background literature

With the rapid shift in education during the COVID-19 pandemic, most activities, including teaching, learning, and communication, transitioned from face-to-face to online (Vargo et al., 2021). The face-to-face education system changed to digital learning through online lectures, assignments, and activities, creating a new learning experience (Naqvi et al., 2020). The pandemic was the most extensive disruption to education systems in human history, resulting in significant challenges and educational opportunities. Institutions planned to set up technologies to facilitate this change while strategic ideas and creative action plans were generated expeditiously (Taufiq-Hail et al., 2021; Vargo et al., 2021). Since traditional classroom lessons could not be copied into an online environment, courses were prepared with more significant efforts to suit the online platform by incorporating student engagement (Sun et al., 2020).

Reliable internet connections and access to digital devices were significant issues for many countries (Pokhrel et al., 2021). In many developing countries, children struggled to meet the expenses of online devices. Online education also causes an escalated screen time risk, leading to vision problems, shorter attentional spans, and social alienation for the learner. Vargo et al. (2021) and Naqvi et al. (2020), highlight significant challenges and opportunities of the transition to online education from face-to-face during the COVID-19 pandemic. While Vargo et al. (2021) emphasize the suddenness and scale of the shift, Naqvi et al. (2020) focus on the new learning experiences created by digital platforms. The critical ethical issue here is the digital divide, as highlighted by Pokhrel et al. (2021). Reliable internet and digital device access are crucial for equitable education, and many students, especially in developing countries, struggle with these necessities. This divide raises questions about fairness and equal access to educational opportunities, challenging educators and policymakers to address these disparities ethically.

Educational institutions were challenged to find modern technology and reimagine education to support academic staff and students seeking guidance with digital literacy in a unique environment. The situation united humanity, as urgent needs were placed to protect and save students, academic staff, faculty, societies, communities, and the nation (Dhawan, 2020). Naqvi et al. (2020) mentioned that most institutions globally sought solutions to continue teaching. Although the quality of education depended on the level of digital access, institutions had to support social distancing and isolation. The pandemic supplied an opportunity to transform and implement alternate educational systems and assessment strategies and paved the way for the introduction of digital learning (Pokhrel et al., 2021). With the global COVID-19 outbreak, teachers needed to

innovate and implement assessment strategies and alternate educational systems as face-to-face teaching was halted in schools, colleges, and universities. The education systems feared losing the academic year 2020, and teachers required more time to explore online teaching and infrastructure.

Teaching from home was a non-favorable environment; teachers worked through an information gap with limited online teaching experience (Pokhrel et al., 2021). With the change in thinking, teachers needed to spend more time and effort preparing for online courses that were innovative and designed to improve the students' attention span (Gewin, 2020). With no other alternative, both learners and educators adapted to this unique experience (Pokhrel et al., 2021). Teachers patiently engaged passive students through interactive question-and-answer sessions, tests, presentations, and open discussions. (Sun et al., 2020). Some faculty studied technology to find the best teaching tactics, accommodating students in different time zones who could not return to campus because of the outbreak. (Gewin, 2020). The shift to digital learning posed significant challenges, such as the need for modern technology and reimagined teaching methods (Dhawan, 2020). While Dhawan discusses the broad societal impact, Pokhrel et al. (2021) examined specific obstacles like limited online teaching experience and the information gap faced by educators. These challenges require ethical considerations regarding teacher preparedness and student engagement. Teachers must be adequately trained to deliver high-quality online education, ensuring that all students, regardless of their socio-economic status, receive equal learning opportunities.

3.1. Ethical practices in digital environment

Education moved to digital learning, influencing humanity by addressing social, cognitive, and emotional implications (Yamamoto, 2015). Consequently, learning in a digital era brings new ethical challenges in the global and educational environment. Today's learners are exposed to example of unethical behaviors from social media and negative examples set by many adults. Ethical learners, however, possess a strong moral personality and prioritize ethical behavior (Chugh et al., 2016; Yamamoto, 2015). Sugiura et al. (2017) stated that ethics is the moral rule of conduct and the judgment between good and bad. Ethics can be associated with individual, society, family, morality, teacher, and curriculum, encompassing empathy, justice, self-control, respect, and responsibility in education (Yilmaz & Çelebi, 2022). Yamamoto (2015) indicated that education can help learners use technology positively to minimize the adverse effects. While education is the key to a democratic society, a quality public education system will ensure the learner receives an education that enables them to make decisions benefiting the community rather than just themselves. Yamamoto (2015) addresses the broader implications of digital learning, including its social, cognitive, and emotional effects. The ethical dimension here involves ensuring that digital education fosters positive social interactions, cognitive development, and emotional well-being. Chugh et al. (2016) and Yamamoto (2015) suggest that ethical moral personalities, emphasizing the importance of instilling ethical values in students. Education should help learners use technology responsibly, minimizing adverse effects and promoting a democratic society.

Students have different education options: full-time online instruction, "blended" education, and brick-and-mortar-based instruction (Finn, 2012, p1). According to Pastor-Escuredo (2020), the socio-economic configuration has become one of the main drivers of technological development. With artificial intelligence (AI) and digital technologies, connected individuals have become equal, predictable, and controllable with Facebook, Twitter, and TikTok, intelligent technologies at home,

and drone deliveries (Sullivan & Reiner, 2020). These technological developments bring ethical implications, such as how machines work, which prompt questions about how humans' behavior and decision-making. The digital world has created opportunities to renew ethical practices globally fostering better human interactions (Pastor-Escuredo, 2020). Vargo et al. (2021) and Pastor-Escuredo (2020) indicated that digitalization is a global driver. Educators can now connect with learners through video conferencing, podcasts, online chats, and webinars. With these advances, educators can communicate and teach students thousands of miles away using virtual cloud technologies to save electronic records and exchange emails and text messages (Reamer, 2013). Pastor-Escuredo (2020) discussed how socio-economic factors drive technological development, making ethical considerations paramount in the digital age. Sullivan & Reiner (2020) highlight the ethical questions arising from advanced technologies like AI and social media. The critical analysis here involves examining how these technologies can be used ethically to promote equality and responsible behavior. Digital platforms offer opportunities to renew ethical practices globally, but they also pose risks if not managed correctly.

According to Muhammad et al. (2016), activities performed in e-learning academic institutions include managing data and creating, storing, and distributing different communication and information tools. Tailoring education for distance education has brought many challenges to the education system, especially as online learning is now preferred by students who face difficulties in a face-to-face educational setting. Toprak et al. (2010) outlined the ethical obligations in online information use and sharing, which include (1) promoting the well-being of humans and society, (2) avoiding harm to others; (3) being honest and trustworthy; (4) honoring the property rights as copyrights; (5) being fair and taking actions not to discriminate; (6) respecting the privacy of others; (7) giving credit to intellectual property; (8) honoring confidentiality. Netiquette is the internet ethics due to psychological distance. Inappropriate and unethical behaviors are seen immediately in the face-to-face environment; however, in online interactions, these behaviors are less shown as the other person is not seen or heard.

The main reason for the flaws in the ethical character of individuals is that advancements in technology structure bring shortcomings to academic institutes, societies, and families (Muhammad et al., 2016). While technology helped address educational challenges by connecting educators and learners during the COVID-19 pandemic, ethical issues often emerged from how individuals utilized technology. Muhammad et al. (2016) mentioned that with technological innovation, ethical issues have created a major concern indirectly and directly in education. Ethical issues identified include the usage of unauthorized resources, collaborative work without proper attribution, the use of mobile devices during lectures to send or receive text messages, and plagiarism. Reamer (2013) and Toprak et al. (2010) stated that although students prefer nontraditional ways, teaching online introduces ethical issues for both students and educators. Institutions are committed to maintaining high standards of academic honesty even though monitoring and assessing students for academic honesty is challenging. Some online students hire ghostwriters, cheat on exams, arrange for a knowledgeable friend to take the online exam, or request help via text messages or email. As students are no longer close to the educator, the quality of learning is being questioned (Reamer, 2013).

Olcott et al. (2015) and Toprak et al. (2010) indicated that as online learning becomes more popular due to increased use, ethical issues arise in online spaces. Presenting new challenges for distance educators. The online environment requires policies that users need to consider as pre-determined rules. Learners must show respect, tolerate each other while collaborating, conduct civil relations, and interact appropriately while learning online. In an online environment, student populations are more diverse, and the boundaries and limitations to educational opportunities are eased through internet access. Academic fraud increases in online learning settings as it becomes challenging to verify whether students' work assignments are completed by the enrolled student. Other prominent issues include the misuse of personal information, plagiarism, and copyright violations. (Olcott et al., 2015 and Toprak et al., 2010).

3.2. *Support ethical behavior of 21st-century learners*

McCoog (2008) stated that growing up in a fast-paced digital world, today's students dislike the traditional lecture-based classroom. Despite being half, a quarter, or even a tenth of their age, today's students can teach adults about computers or cell phones. What surprises the teachers is that they are not the ones teaching; the 21st-century learners have taught themselves to network and find a solution (McCoog, 2008). The new century learner has novel ways of thinking and learning. With today's technology immersion, students vary from students in the past and need different teaching approaches due to students having unique learning goals that involve technology (Kereluik et al., 2013). Human character is influenced by emerging technology and ethical challenges (Dennis et al., 2021). As per Teo (2019), educators were called to prepare 21st-century students with an all-inclusive education that combines life skills of cross-cultural collaboration, communication, critical thinking, and creativity in the past few decades. McCoog (2008) emphasized the need for new teaching approaches due to the technological immersion of 21st-century learners. Kereluik et al. (2013) and Dennis et al. (2021) further support this by highlighting how technology influences human character and ethical behavior. The ethical challenge is ensuring that new teaching methods promote critical thinking, creativity, and ethical decision-making. Teo (2019) called for an all-inclusive education that combines life skills with digital literacy, preparing students for the ethical challenges of the modern world.

In contrast, John Dewey emphasized the value of critical thinking and creativity skills in 1916. Students have started to navigate and enhance opportunities in the globalized world for the past few decades. Twenty-first-century skills are keys to success in this modern knowledge society. With the COVID-19 pandemic, educators shifted the face-to-face curriculum to online learning, taking the opportunity to develop students' higher-order thinking skills (Nussbaum et al., 2021). Chen et al. 2010, support these strategies, with studies showing that web-based learning technology significantly enhances student engagement and learning outcomes. The asynchronous instructional technology provides learners with more time to think critically and reflectively that enables them to stimulate higher order thinking as analysis, synthesis, judgement and gives application knowledge.

According to Kereluik et al. (2013), today's students were born into a media-filled world, immersed in technology, and are digital natives. The students have cell phones, iPods, laptops, and handheld gaming devices everywhere they go, and their vision of learning with these tools and technologies is complex, flexible, creative, and challenging. Learners are in a world of instant information using technological tools and devices; hence, educators need help teaching students to

evaluate, interpret, and effectively use technology correctly. Growing up in a technology-driven world and teaching themselves the essential skills to compete with peers, 21st-century learners prefer even the school surroundings to be digitalized (McCoog, 2008; Spengler, 2015). A case study showed the use of online social network in learning environments promotes formal learning that enhances student engagement and collaborative skills (Veletsianos & Navarrete, 2012). Veletsianos & Navarrete, 2012 provided the empirical evidence on the benefits of using online social networks for formal learning, enhancing student engagement and collaboration. This supports the idea that digital platforms can be used ethically to foster a positive learning environment. However, educators must guide students in using these tools responsibly, ensuring that their online interactions are ethical and respectful.

McCoog (2008) indicated that cultural familiarity and social responsibilities are 21st-century ethical issues related to e-communication. Learners in the 21st century must be able to collaborate and have self-direction with individuals, groups, and machines with an insignificant emphasis placed on outcomes. Learners need to think critically and use their ability to sequence thoughts before they act to achieve high results and complete with a global perspective (Yamamoto, 2015; McCoog, 2008). Hakimi et al. (2021) mentioned that with the significant switch to digital technologies, access to learning solved the pressing issues during the pandemic. However, technology usage is expected to have a long-lasting impact on ethical implications. The effectiveness of these strategies is further supported by meta-analyses that highlight the positive impact of online learning on student outcomes (Means et al., 2009)

4. Discussion

In the wake of COVID-19, digital learning opened new doors to connect students globally through an unbiased and undivided education. Digitalization changed the course of distance learning, leading to online computer-based learning (Naqvi et al., 2020). More dynamic frameworks should be considered to achieve successful and intensely digitized education systems. Active mechanisms of supplying solutions to pandemic disruption paved the way for flexible projects involving strategic change, uniqueness, and innovation (Pokhrel et al., 2021).

Countries needing more fundamental teaching in remote areas must improve education through proven technologies and communication technology strategies. (Naqvi et al., 2020). The pedagogy used for face-to-face learning is not workable for online learning; therefore, teachers who lack technological proficiency require professional development training to familiarize themselves with tutoring students. John Dewey said, "If we teach today's students as we taught yesterday's we rob them from tomorrow" (Bărbuceanu, 2020, p.1). Education is continuously changing, and with technology, things are moving at a faster pace. Therefore, teacher training with digital technologies and applications is essential. Globally, educational capabilities are limited, and due to the COVID-19 outbreak, the development of technology has opened new avenues for all students and changed the traditions of distance education (Naqvi et al., 2020).

McCoog (2008) stated that changing the method of instruction requires replacing the one-size-fits-all approach and incorporating students' opinions. Instead of merely delivering content, educators should engage students in the content and drive instructions more dynamically while replacing face-to-face interaction with online activities. Give the students the challenge and guide them towards solutions allowing them to contribute to the planning process. Kaufmann and Vallade

(2020) suggest being clear and concise when detailing expectations for the students' providing adequate information to complete assignments, as inconsistency in communication can lead to confusion in an online course. Moreover, clearly explaining, ensuring, and adopting values related to information technology can transform these values into behaviors. Students can be taught the values of honesty, accuracy, virtual sharing, respect for copyrights, virtual kindness, virtual morality, and respect for each other (Yilmaz & Çelebi, 2022).

Muhammad et al. (2016) mentioned that to address the challenges associated with ethical practices, the UN and UNESCO started a particular program for ethical education that includes data collection, privacy, security, trust, and technologies. Additionally, professional bodies globally, such as International Review Board (IRB), have developed codes of ethics due to the increasing ethical issues as human become more dependent on technology. Toprak et al. (2010) recommended preparing policy guidelines acknowledging the diversity of students in the online education setup. The policy includes (1) a guideline model for students and (2) technology ethics in the curriculum. Kereluik et al. (2013) stated that foundational knowledge provided to 21st-century students with ethical awareness includes the knowledge and skills needed to succeed in society. Engaging in the ethical decision-making process involves empathy, understanding others' positions, and focusing on collective success rather than individual achievements. As we work with diverse groups, understanding human emotions is crucial for successful interactions. (Kereluik et al., 2013; Singh, 2019). Chawdhry et al. (2017) suggested identifying the institution's policies and guidelines about ethics at the beginning of the online course. Incorporating ethical procedures into the digital environment provides a framework for students to follow. Ethical issues arise when students are unaware of institutional guiding principle. There are two approaches to ensuring integrity of online classes: taking proactive measures to prevent violations and implementing an honor code to ensure that students adhere to the institution's values while accepting the penalties of non-compliance (Chawdhry et al., 2017).

To nurture and develop 21st-century character education, Singh (2019) suggests that all stakeholders must accept core ethical and performance values such as honesty, fairness, responsibility, and respect for self and others. Character education is about handling responsibility and doing the right thing. Educating the 21st-century learner will help build civic virtue, compliance with the law, respect for the rights of others, and concern for the common good. Promoting moral values is crucial in teaching learners to be honest, compassionate, empathetic, and trustworthy. Emphasizing virtues such as effort, diligence, and perseverance further supports their development. Introducing these values helps students treat each other with respect and care, ensuring they pursue their goals ethically (Singh, 2019). Fostering self-discipline involves setting goals and assessing progress based on an ethical code. Sileo & Sileo (2008) and Toprak (2007) mentioned that online instructors need to find ways to promote students' ethical practices, minimize academic dishonesty, and control students' unauthorized access to resources by making assessments open book. Writing assessments should be designed with precise goals and instructions to prevent plagiarism. Assignments should be crafted with knowledge of what is available on the internet, and to prevent two students from selecting the same assessment, exams should be created from a large pool of randomized assessment questions. This approach stops students from completing the same assessment.

Olt (2002) mentioned that setting specific time limits and providing students with multiple attempts on assessments can help mitigate the risks of students working together secretly, while also promoting the development of higher order thinking and problem-solving skills instead of the habit of memorization. Collins (2014) described that 21st-century skills as involving higher-order thinking in terms of 1. Transfer – applying knowledge and skills as transfer opportunities to life outside school; 2. Critical thinking – focused on reflective thinking that includes reasoning, investigating, questioning, describing, seeing, connecting, comparing, and 3. Problem-solving – recognizing the proper path or solution to follow. Olt (2002) further suggested that by incorporating personal, professional, and life experiences into assignments and discussions, instructors can discourage unethical behavior and foster higher-order thinking through students' real-world contexts. Providing students with ethical policies from the outset affirms academic integrity and encourages responsibility by clarifying expectations for their educational journey. This approach helps create a learning environment that prioritizes ethical conduct and academic honesty, essential for fostering a responsible and reflective student body.

5. Implications for Future Research and Practice

Future research should focus on the long-term impacts of digital learning environments on students' academic performance, social skills, and ethical behavior, providing valuable insights through longitudinal studies (Johnson et al., 2015). Having continuous professional development programs for teachers is essential to equip them with the necessary skills to address digital education's ethical challenges (Collins, 2014). Thereafter, evaluating the effectiveness of professional development programs for educators in digital technologies and ethical practices can reveal best practices for preparing teachers to navigate digital education challenges (Chen et al., 2010). Exploring the integration of digital citizenship education into curricula will help to foster responsible online behavior among students (Ribble, 2015). Assessing the impact of implementing ethical policies and guidelines in online education can determine how these measures influence students' academic integrity and decision-making (Chawdhry et. al., 2017). Educators should design comprehensive digital literacy programs that incorporate ethical considerations, teaching students about privacy, data security, and responsible technology use (Veletsianos & Navarrete, 2012). Incorporating ethical decision-making frameworks into teaching practices through scenarios and case studies can enhance students' ability to resolve real-world issues ethically (Singh, 2019). Additionally, institutions should develop and enforce clear ethical guidelines, including policies on academic integrity, privacy, and the responsible use of technology, to set clear expectations for students from the beginning of their courses (Olt, 2002). By focusing on these areas, both future research and educational practice can contribute to creating more ethical and effective digital learning environments.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, the rapid technological advancement and digital transformation present significant challenges for online education. Therefore, implementing a code of ethics that highlights ethical conduct in online and blended educational environment is crucial as digital technologies advance. Support, feedback, in-depth teaching interaction, and promoting student engagement can

reduce students' stress (Zhao et al., 2021). The teaching of ethical content and the critical factors in the ethical development of policies and procedures are essential factors for the ethical development of students (Muhammad et al., 2016). 21st-century learners must model moral and ethical behavioral practices as the world undergoes constant changes. For students to be successful in the future, values such as honesty, and diligence should be critical factors. Educators must support and handle their actions to advocate ethical values and self-discipline. This paper highlights the need to explore how educators can support ethical behaviors for 21st-century learners as the digital environment advances. By providing a comprehensive understanding of ethical practices in the digital environment, the paper unveils valuable information for developing recommendations to support ethical behaviors of digital transformation as technology progresses.

Institutional Review Board Statement: “Not applicable.” No data on human subject or animals were collected for this study

Informed Consent Statement: No informed consent was obtained, since no human subjects were involved in this study

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

- (Allen 2012) Allen, A. L. (2012). An ethical duty to protect one's own information privacy. *Ala. L. Rev.*, 64, 845. https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1450&context=faculty_scholarship
- (Bărbuceanu 2020) Bărbuceanu, C. D. (2020). Teaching the digital natives. *Revista de stiinte politice*, (65). https://cis01.central.ucv.ro/revistadestiintepolitice/files/numarul65_2020/13.pdf
- (Chawdhry et al. 2017) Chawdhry, A., Paullet, K., & Douglas, D. (2017). Evaluating ethics in distance education learning from student point. *Issues in information systems*, https://iacis.org/iis/2017/3_iis_2017_69-77.pdf
- (Chen et al. (2010) Chen, P. S. D., Lambert, A. D., & Guidry, K. R. (2010). Engaging online learners: The impact of Web-based learning technology on college student engagement. *Computers & education*, 54(4), 1222-1232. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0360131509003285>
- (Chugh et al. 2016) Chugh, D., & Kern, M. C. (2016). Ethical learning: releasing the moral unicorn. *Organizational wrongdoing: Key perspectives and new directions*, 474-503. Cambridge: Cambridge university press. doi:10.1017/CBO9781316338827.017
- (Chugh et al. 2016) Chugh, D., & Kern, M. C. (2016). Becoming as ethical as we think we are: The ethical learner at work. *Organizational wrongdoing*. <https://provost.baruch.cuny.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/5/2019/11/MollyKern-2013.pdf>
- (Collins 2014) Collins, R. (2014). Skills for the 21st century: teaching higher-order thinking. *Curriculum & leadership journal*, 12(14). http://www.curriculum.edu.au/leader/teaching_higher_order_thinking,37431.html
- (Corbett et al. 2020) Corbett, F., & Spinello, E. (2020). Connectivism and leadership: harnessing a learning theory for the digital age to redefine leadership in the twenty-first century. *Heliyon*, 6(1), e03250. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2405844020300955>
- (Dennis et al. 2021) Dennis, M., & Harrison, T. (2021). Unique ethical challenges for the 21st century: Online technology and virtue education. *Journal of moral education*, 50(3), 251-266. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/03057240.2020.1781071>
- (Dhawan 2020) Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of educational technology systems*, 49(1), 5-22. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0047239520934018>
- (Dweck 2015) Dweck, C. (2015). Carol Dweck revisits the growth mindset. *Education week*, 35(5), 20-24. <https://portal.cornerstonesd.ca/group/yyd5jtk/documents/carol%20dweck%20growth%20mindsets.pdf>

- (Finn 2012) Finn, C. E. (2012). Education reform for the digital era. *Thomas B. Fordham Institute*.
<https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED532508.pdf>
- (Johnson et al. 2015) Johnson, L., Becker, S. A., Estrada, V., & Freeman, A. (2015). NMC horizon report: 2015 library edition (pp. 1-54). The New Media Consortium. <https://www.learntechlib.org/p/151822/>
- (Gewin 2020) Gewin, V. (2020). Five tips for moving teaching online as COVID-19 takes hold. *nature*, 580(7802), 295–296.
https://moodle.technion.ac.il/pluginfile.php/1647117/mod_resource/content/1/CAREER%20FEATURE.pdf
- (Hakimi et al. 2021) Hakimi, L., Eynon, R., & Murphy, V. A. (2021). The ethics of using digital trace data in education: A thematic review of the research landscape. *Review of educational research*, 00346543211020116.
<https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.3102/00346543211020116>
- (Keown and Bourke 2020) Keown, S., & Bourke, B. (2020). A qualitative investigation of fixed versus growth mindsets of third and fourth grade students. *Education*, 140(2), 51-58.
<https://digitalcommons.murraystate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1069&context=etd>
- (Kereluik et al. 2013) Kereluik, K., Mishra, P., Fahnoe, C., & Terry, L. (2013). What knowledge is of most worth: Teacher knowledge for 21st century learning. *Journal of digital learning in teacher education*, 29(4), 127-140.
- (Kivunja 2014) Kivunja, C. (2014). Do You Want Your Students to Be Job-Ready with 21st Century Skills? Change Pedagogies: A Pedagogical Paradigm Shift from Vygotskyian Social Constructivism to Critical Thinking, Problem Solving and Siemens' Digital Connectivism. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 3(3), 81-91. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1067554>
- (Lendis 2014) Lendis, E. I. (2014). *Teaching in a 21 st century educational context: A case study to explore the alignment between vision, instruction and the needs of a 21 st century workplace* (Doctoral dissertation, Duquesne university).
<https://dsc.duq.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1832&context=etd>
- (Ma et al. 2020) Ma, C., Ma, Y., & Lan, X. (2020). A structural equation model of perceived autonomy support and growth mindset in undergraduate students: the mediating role of sense of coherence. *Frontiers in psychology*, 11, 2055.
<https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.02055/full>
- (McCoog 2008) McCoog, I. J. (2008). 21st Century teaching and learning. *Online submission*.
<https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/ED502607.pdf>
- (Means et al. 2009) Means, B., Toyama, Y., Murphy, R., Bakia, M., & Jones, K. (2009). Evaluation of evidence-based practices in online learning: A meta-analysis and review of online learning studies.
https://repository.alt.ac.uk/629/1/US_DepEdu_Final_report_2009.pdf
- (Mosanya 2021) Mosanya, M. (2021). Buffering academic stress during the COVID-19 pandemic related social isolation: Grit and growth mindset as protective factors against the impact of loneliness. *International journal of applied positive psychology*, 6(2), 159-174. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s41042-020-00043-7>
- (Muhammad et al. 2016) Muhammad, A., Ghalib, M. F. M. D., Ahmad, F., Naveed, Q. N., & Shah, A. (2016). A study to investigate state of ethical development in e-learning. *J. Adv. Comput. sci. appl*, 7(4), 284-290.
- (Naqvi and Sahu 2020) Naqvi, W. M., & Sahu, A. (2020). Paradigmatic shift in the education system in a time of COVID 19. *Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences*, 9(27), 1974-1977.
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666518220300115>
- (Nottingham and Larsson 2018) Nottingham, J., & Larsson, B. (2018). *Challenging mindset: why a growth mindset makes a difference in learning—and what to do when it doesn't*. Corwin Press, 2018
- (Nussbaum et al. 2021) Nussbaum, M., Barahona, C., Rodriguez, F., Guentulle, V., Lopez, F., Vazquez-Uscanga, E., & Cabezas, V. (2021). Taking critical thinking, creativity and grit online. *Educational technology research and development*, 69(1), 201-206.

- (Olcott et al. 2015) Olcott, D., Carrera Farran, X., Gallardo Echenique, E. E., & González Martínez, J. (2015). Ethics and education in the digital age: Global perspectives and strategies for local transformation in Catalonia. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 12(2), 59-72. <https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.7238/rusc.v12i2.2455.pdf>
- (Pastor-Escuredo 2020) Pastor-Escuredo, D. (2020). Ethics in the digital era. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.06530*. <https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/2003/2003.06530.pdf>
- (Pokhrel and Chhetri 2021) Pokhrel, S., & Chhetri, R. (2021). A literature review on impact of COVID-19 pandemic on teaching and learning. *Higher education for the future*, 8(1), 133-141. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2347631120983481>
- (Reamer 2013) Reamer, F. G. (2013). Distance and online social work education: Novel ethical challenges. *Journal of Teaching in Social Work*, 33(4-5), 369-384. <https://digitalcommons.ric.edu/facultypublications/392>
- (Renu 2021) Renu, N. (2021). Technological advancement in the era of COVID-19. *SAGE open medicine*, 9, 20503121211000912. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/20503121211000912>
- (Rhew et al. 2018) Rhew, E., Piro, J. S., Goolkasian, P., & Cosentino, P. (2018). The effects of a growth mindset on self-efficacy and motivation. *Cogent Education*, 5(1), 1492337. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/2331186X.2018.1492337>
- (Ribble 2015) Ribble, M. (2015). Digital citizenship in schools: Nine elements all students should know. International Society for technology in Education. <https://epale.ec.europa.eu/sites/default/files/digcit-excerpt.pdf>
- (Rissanen 2019) Rissanen, I., Kuusisto, E., Tuominen, M., & Tirri, K. (2019). In search of a growth mindset pedagogy: A case study of one teacher's classroom practices in a Finnish elementary school. *Teaching and teacher education*, 77, 204-213. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0742051X18307947>
- (Singh 2019) Singh, B. (2019). Character education in the 21st century. *Journal of Social Studies (JSS)*, 15(1), 1-12. <https://journal.uny.ac.id/index.php/jss/article/viewFile/25226/12181>
- (Spengler, S. S. 2015). *Educators' perceptions of a 21st century digital literacy framework* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden university). <https://scholarworks.waldenu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1555&context=dissertations>
- (Sugiura et al. 2017) Sugiura, L., Wiles, R., & Pope, C. (2017). Ethical challenges in online research: Public/private perceptions. *Research Ethics*, 13(3-4), 184-199. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/1747016116650720>
- (Sullivan et al. 2020) Sullivan, L. S., & Reiner, P. B. (2020). Ethics in the digital era: Nothing new? *IT professional*, 22(1), 39-42. https://web.archive.org/web/20201106154223id_/https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/ielx7/6294/8994109/08994136.pdf
- (Sun et al. 2020) Sun, L., Tang, Y., & Zuo, W. (2020). Coronavirus pushes education online. *Nature materials*, 19(6), 687-687 <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41563-020-0678-8>
- (Taufiq-Hail et al. 2021) Taufiq-Hail, G. A. M., Sarea, A., & Hawaldar, I. T. (2021). The Impact of self-efficacy on feelings and task performance of academic and teaching staff in Bahrain during COVID-19: Analysis by SEM and ANN. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(4), 224.
- (Teo 2019) Teo, P. (2019). Teaching for the 21st century: A case for dialogic pedagogy. *Learning, culture, and social interaction*, 21, 170-178. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lcsi.2019.03.009>
- (Toprak et al. 2010) Toprak, E., Ozkanal, B., Aydin, S., & Kaya, S. (2010). Ethics in e-learning. *Turkish online journal of educational technology-TOJET*, 9(2), 78-86. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ898005>
- (Turner 2011) Turner, T. L. (2011). Teaching ourselves and our students to embrace challenge: A review of mindset: The new psychology of success. *Perspectives: Teaching Legal Research and Writing*, 20(2). <https://sc.edu/nrc/presentation/annual/2016/handouts/CI-96%20-2.pdf>
- (Vargo et al. 2021) Vargo, D., Zhu, L., Benwell, B., & Yan, Z. (2021). Digital technology use during COVID-19 pandemic: A rapid review. *Human behavior and emerging technologies*, 3(1), 13-24. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hbe2.242>

- (Veletsianos and Navarrete 2012) Veletsianos, G., & Navarrete, C. (2012). Online social networks as formal learning environments: Learner experiences and activities. *The international review of research in open and distributed learning*, 13(1), 144-166. <https://www.irrodl.org/index.php/irrodl/article/view/1078>
- (Williams 2017) Williams, M. K. (2017). John Dewey in the 21st century. *Journal of Inquiry and Action in Education*, 9(1), 7. <https://digitalcommons.buffalostate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1147&context=jiae>
- (Xu et al. 2021) Xu, K. M., Koorn, P., De Koning, B., Skuballa, I. T., Lin, L., Henderikx, M., ... & Paas, F. (2021). A growth mindset lowers perceived cognitive load and improves learning: Integrating motivation to cognitive load. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 113(6), 1177. <https://doi.apa.org/doiLanding?doi=10.1037%2Fedu0000631>
- (Yamamoto and Ananou 2015) Yamamoto, J., & Ananou, S. (2015). Humanity in digital age: cognitive, social, emotional, and ethical implications. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 6(1), 1-18. <https://dergipark.org.tr/en/pub/cet/issue/25739/271521>
- (Yilmaz and Çelebi 2022) Yilmaz, Y., & Çelebi, C. (2022). Views of Information Technologies Teachers on the Effects of Values Education on Informatics Ethics. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching*, 9(1), 506-530. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1327829.pdf>
- (Zhao et al, 2021) Zhao, H., Xiong, J., Zhang, Z., & Qi, C. (2021). Growth mindset and college students' learning engagement during the COVID-19 pandemic: A serial mediation model. *Frontiers in psychology*, 12, 224. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.621094/full>